

# STUDY OF NEAR RING STRUCTURE FOR MATHEMATICAL APPLICATIONS

M.A. SAFIULLAH<sup>1</sup>, C. CHITHIRASELVI<sup>2</sup>

Mphil Research Scholar, Department of Maths , PRIST University., THANJAVUR  
Assistant Professor, Department of Maths , PRIST University., THANJAVUR

subhijose2006@gmail.com

**Abstract**— In mathematics, a near-ring (also near ring or nerring) is an algebraic structure similar to a ring but satisfying fewer axioms. Near-rings arise naturally from functions on groups. A set  $N$  together with two binary operations  $+$  (called addition) and  $\cdot$  (called multiplication) is called a (right) near-ring if:

A1:  $N$  is a group (not necessarily abelian) under addition;

A2: multiplication is associative (so  $N$  is a semigroup under multiplication); and

A3: multiplication on the right distributes over addition: for any  $x, y, z$  in  $N$ , it holds that  $(x + y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) + (y \cdot z)$ . [1]

Similarly, it is possible to define a left near-ring by replacing the right distributive law A3 by the corresponding left distributive law. Both right and left near-rings occur in the literature; for instance, the book of Pilz [2] uses right near-rings, while the book of Clay [3] uses left near-rings.

An immediate consequence of this one-sided distributive law is that it is true that  $0 \cdot x = 0$  but it is not necessarily true that  $x \cdot 0 = 0$  for any  $x$  in  $N$ . Another immediate consequence is that  $(-x) \cdot y = -(x \cdot y)$  for any  $x, y$  in  $N$ , but it is not necessary that  $x \cdot (-y) = -(x \cdot y)$ . A near-ring is a ring (not necessarily with unity) if and only if addition is commutative and multiplication is also distributive over addition on the left. If the near-ring is with unity (that is, there exists a multiplicative identity), then distributive on both sides is sufficient, and commutativity of addition follows automatically.

**Keywords – Multiplication, Mathematics, literature**

## I INTRODUCTION

A right near-ring  $(N, +, \cdot)$  is an algebraic system with two binary operations such that (i)  $(N, +)$  is a group-not necessarily abelian –with  $0$  as its identity element, (ii)  $(N, \cdot)$  is a semi-group (We write  $xy$  for  $x \cdot y$  for all  $x, y$  in  $N$ ) and (iii)  $(x+y)z = xz + yz$  for all  $x, y, z$  in  $N$ . Because of (iii)  $0n = 0$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ . As we do not stipulate the left distribute law, “ $n0 = 0$ ” need not hold good for all  $n$  in  $N$ . We say that  $N$  is zero – symmetric if

$n0 = 0$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ .  $N$  is called an S-near-ring or an S'-near-ring according as  $x \in Nx$  or  $x \in xN$  for all  $x \in N$ . A subgroup  $M$  of  $N$  is called an N-subgroup if  $NM \subseteq M$  and an invariant N-subgroup if, in addition,  $MN \subseteq M$ .

An ideal  $I$  of  $N$  is called a semiprime ideal if for all ideals  $J$  of  $N$ ,  $J^2 \subseteq I \subseteq J \subseteq I$ . If  $\{0\}$  is a semiprime ideal, then  $N$  is called a semiprime near-ring. An ideal  $I$  of  $N$  is called completely semiprime if  $x \in I$  whenever  $x^2 \in I$ .  $N$  is called a strictly prime near-ring if  $\{0\}$  is a strictly prime ideal i.e. if  $A$  and  $B$  are N-subgroups of  $N$  such that  $AB = \{0\}$ , then either  $A = \{0\}$  or  $B = \{0\}$ .

The concept of a mate function in  $N$  has been introduced in [4] with a view to handle the regularity structure in a near-ring with considerable ease. A map  $m$  from  $N$  into  $N$  is called a mate function for  $N$ , if  $x = xm(x)x$  for all  $x$  in  $N$ .  $m(x)$  is called a mate of  $x$ .

Basic concepts and terms used but not defined in this paper can be found in Pilz [3]. Throughout this paper  $N$  stands for a near-ring – more precisely a right near-ring – with at least two elements.

As in P.249 Pilz [3], “if  $N$  is a near-field then either  $N$  is isomorphic to  $Mc(Z_2)$  or  $N$  is zero-symmetric “(For the concept of  $Mc(Z_2)$  one may refer to Example 1.4 (a), P.8 and 1.5, P.12 of Pilz [3]. Obviously  $Mc(Z_2)$  is a near-field of order 2 and is not zero –symmetric). All the near-fields in this paper are zero – symmetric.

Notations

- (a)  $E$  denotes the set of all idempotents of  $N$ .
- (b)  $L$  is the set of all nilpotent elements of  $N$ .
- (c)  $N_d = \{n \in N / n(x+y) = nx+ny, \text{ for all } x, y \in N\}$  - the set of all distributive elements of  $N$ .
- (d)  $N_0 = \{n \in N / n0 = 0\}$  – the zero- symmetric part of  $N$  (It is worth noting that  $N$  is zero –symmetric if  $N = N_0$ ).
- (e)  $C(N) = \{n \in N / nx = xn \text{ for all } x \in N\}$ .

## II WEAKENED FORMS OF P (1, 2) AND P (2, 1) NEAR – RINGS

In this chapter we introduce the concepts of Weak P(1,2) and Weak P(2,1) near- rings. We say that N is Weak P(1,2) (Weak P(2,1)) if  $xN = yN$

$Nx^2 = Ny^2$  for  $x, y$  in N ( $Nx = Ny \iff x^2N = y^2N$  for  $x, y$  in N) . We give examples to show that each of these concepts is different in general. Weak P(1,2) (Weak P(2,1)) is a generalization of P(1,2) (P(2,1)).

We obtain characterization of Weak P(1,2) near-rings which admit mate functions. We show that the concepts of Weak P(1,2) , Weak P(2,1), P(1,2) and P(2,1) are all equivalent in a Ring with mate functions. We obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for a Weak P(1,2) near- ring to be a P(1,2) near- ring. We prove that the concept of Weak P(1,2) is preserved under homomorphisms and also obtain a structure theorem for Weak P(1,2) near – rings. Towards the end of this chapter we discuss briefly the relation between the concepts of Weak P(1,2) and Weak P(2,1) in a near – ring.

### Definition 3.1.1.

We define N to be a Weak P(1,2) (Weak P(2,1)) if  $xN = yN \iff Nx^2 = Ny^2$  for  $x, y$  in N.

$Nx^2 = Ny^2$  ( $Nx = Ny \iff x^2N = y^2N$  for  $x, y$  in N).

### Remarks 3.1.2.

The motivation for such a generalization actually stems from the fact that the usual normality condition of a subgroup H of a group (G, .), namely  $gH = Hg$  for all  $g \in G$  , is equivalent to either of the following weakened conditions

- (i)  $g_1H = g_2H \iff Hg_1 = Hg_2$
- (ii)  $Hg_1 = Hg_2 \iff g_1H = g_2H$  for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$

Weak P(1,2) and Weak P(2,1) are two different concepts and neither implies the other in general. Also neither of them nor their combination will imply P(1,2) or P(2,1) in general. But it is obvious that when N is P(1,2) ( P(2,1)) it is Weak P(1,2) (Weak P(2,1)).

The following examples justify these remarks.

### Examples 3.1.3.

A near - field and direct product of ant two near – fields are naturally both Weak P(1,2) and Weak P(2,1) near-rings.

Any constant near- ring N is Weak P(1,2) but not Weak P(2,1).

Let (N, +) be the familiar group of integers modulo 5. We define „,“ in N as follows as per scheme 7, p.408 of pilz [27].

## B<sub>1</sub> NEAR-RINGS

In this chapter, we introduce the concepts of B<sub>1</sub> near- rings, unit B<sub>1</sub> near-rings and strong B<sub>1</sub> near- rings. It includes three sections. Motivated by the concept of left bipotent near- rings, we define N to be a B<sub>1</sub> near- ring if for every  $a \in N$ , there exists  $x \in N^*$  such that  $Nax = Nxa$ . Motivated by the concept of unit regular near- rings , we define N to be a unit B<sub>1</sub> near- ring if for every  $a \in N$ , there exists a unit  $u \in N$  such that  $Nau = Nua$ . By generalizing the concept of B<sub>1</sub> near-rings, we define N to be a strong B<sub>1</sub> near- ring if  $Nab = Nba$  for all  $a, b \in N$ .

In the first section, we discuss some of the properties of B<sub>1</sub> near- rings. We prove that certain near-rings are B<sub>1</sub> near- rings. We obtain a condition under which every S<sub>2</sub> near- ring is a B<sub>1</sub> near- ring. We show that every ideal and every N- subgroup of a B<sub>1</sub> near- ring without non- zero zero divisors is a B<sub>1</sub> near- ring when it is a strong S<sub>1</sub> near- ring.

In the second section, we introduce the concept of unit B<sub>1</sub> near- rings. We show that every unit B<sub>1</sub> near- ring is a B<sub>1</sub> near- ring , but a B<sub>1</sub> near- ring need not be a unit B<sub>1</sub> near- ring. We prove that every strong S<sub>2</sub> near- ring is an S near- ring, but an S near– ring need not be a strong S<sub>2</sub> near- ring.

In the last section of this chapter, we discuss the properties of strong B<sub>1</sub> near- rings in detail. We prove that every strong B<sub>1</sub> near- ring is a B<sub>1</sub> near- ring, but a B<sub>1</sub> near- ring need not be a strong B<sub>1</sub> near- ring. We also show that every N-simple near- ring is a strong B<sub>1</sub> near- ring, but a strong B<sub>1</sub> near- ring need not be a N-simple near- ring. We show that every homomorphic image of a strong B<sub>1</sub> near- ring is a strong B<sub>1</sub> near- ring. We obtain a structure theorem for strong B<sub>1</sub> near- rings and a condition for a strong S<sub>1</sub> near- ring to be a strong

B1 near- ring. We show that every strong S2 near- ring is a strong B1 near- ring. We also obtain a characterization of strong B1 near- rings.

#### 4.1 B1 near-rings

In this section, we define B1 near- rings and discuss some of the properties of such near- rings.

##### Definition 4.1.1.

We say that  $N$  is a B1 near- ring if for every  $a \in N$ , there exists  $x \in N^*$  such that  $Nax = Nxa$ .

##### Examples 4.1.2.

- (a) Every constant near- ring is a B1 near- ring.
- (b) Every commutative near- ring is a B1 near- ring.
- (c) We consider the near- ring  $(Z_4, +, \cdot)$  where  $(Z_4, +)$  is the group of integers modulo „4“ and „ $\cdot$ “ is defined as follows (scheme (4), p.407 of pilz [27]).

### III PROPOSED WORK THEOREM ANALYSIS

#### Theorem 4.3.17.

Let  $N$  be a strong B1 near-ring. If  $N$  is Boolean then the following are true.

- (i)  $NaNb = Nab$  for all  $a, b \in N$ .
- (ii) All principal  $N$ -sub groups commute with one another.
- (iii) Every ideal of  $N$  is a strong B1 near- ring.
- (iv) Every  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$  is a strong B1 near- ring.
- (v) Every  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$  is an invariant  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$ .

Proof:

Since  $N$  is a strong B1 near-ring,  $Nab = Nba$  for all  $a, b \in N$ .

- (i) Let  $a, b \in N$ . Since  $N$  is Boolean,  $a = a^2 \in aN$ . That is  $a \in aN \subseteq Na \subseteq NaN \subseteq Nab \subseteq NaNb$ . Let  $y \in NaNb$ . Then there exist  $n, n' \in N$  such that  $y = nan'b$ . since  $N$  is a strong B1 near-ring, from Lemma 4.3.9 we get,  $nan'1 = zn'1a$  for some  $z \in N$ . Therefore  $y = (zn'a)b = (zn')ab \subseteq Nab$ . That is  $y \subseteq Nab$ .

Therefore  $NaNb \subseteq Nab$ . Thus  $NaNb = Nab$ .

- (ii) Let  $a, b \in N$ . Now  $NaNb = Nab$  [by (i)] =  $Nba = NbnNa$ . Thus the desired result follows.
- (iii) Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $N$ . Therefore  $IN \subseteq I$ . Let  $a, b \in I$ . Now  $Iab = Ia2b$  [since  $N$  is Boolean] =  $(Ia)ab \subseteq (IN)ab = I(Nab) = I(Nba) = (IN)ba \subseteq Iba$ . That is  $Iab \subseteq Iba$ . Similarly  $Iba \subseteq Iab$ . Therefore  $Iab = Iba$ . Thus  $I$  is a strong B1 near- ring.
- (iv) Let  $M$  be an  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$ . Therefore  $NM \subseteq M$ . For any  $x, y \in M$ , let  $z \in Mxy$  [since  $M \subseteq N$ ] =  $yx = Ny2x$  [since  $N$  is Boolean] =  $(Ny)yx \subseteq (NM)yx \subseteq Myx$ . That is  $z \in Myx$ . Therefore  $Mxy \subseteq Myx$ . Similarly  $Myx \subseteq Mxy$ . Therefore  $Mxy = Myx$ . Thus  $M$  is a strong B1 near- ring.
- (v) Let  $M$  be an  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$ . Therefore  $NM \subseteq M$ . Let  $z \in MN$ . Then there exist  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$  such that  $z = mn = m2n$  [since  $N$  is Boolean]. That is  $z = m2n$ . Since  $N$  is a strong B1 near- ring, Lemma 4.3.9 demands that there exists  $n' \in N$  such that  $m2n = n'nm$ . Therefore  $z = n'nm = (n'n)m \subseteq NM \subseteq M$ .

Therefore  $MN \subseteq M$ . Thus  $M$  is an invariant  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$ .

#### Theorem 4.3.18.

Let  $N$  be a strong B1 near- ring. If  $N = N0$  is Boolean then the following are true:

- (i)  $N$  has  $(*, IFP)$ .
- (ii)  $N$  has Property (P4).
- (iii)  $N$  has strong IFP.
- (iv) Every left ideal of  $N$  is an invariant  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$ .
- (v) Every ideal is an invariant  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$ .
- (vi) Every left ideal is an ideal.

Proof:

(i) Let  $x, y \in N$ . Suppose  $xy = 0$ . Now from Lemma 4.3.9 we get, there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $y^2x = nxy = n0 = 0$  [since  $N = N0$ ]. That is  $y^2x = 0$ . Since  $N$  is Boolean,  $yx = 0$ . Again Lemma 4.3.9 demands that, there exists  $z \in N$  such that  $x(xn)y = zy(xn)$ . That is  $x^2ny = zy(xn) = z(yx)n = z(0)n = 0$  [since  $N = N0$ ]. Since  $N$  is Boolean,  $xny = 0$ . Thus  $N$  has  $(*, IFP)$ .

(ii) Let  $x, y \in N$  and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $N$ . Suppose  $xy \in I$ . Now Lemma 4.3.9 guarantees that there exists  $z \in N$  such that  $y^2x = zxy \in NI \subseteq I$  [since  $N = N0$ ]. That is  $y^2x \in I$ . Since  $N$  is Boolean,  $yx \in I$ . Thus  $N$  has Property  $(P4)$ .

(iii) Let  $x, y, n \in N$  and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $N$ . Suppose  $xy \in I$ . From part (ii) we get, there exists  $z \in N$  such that  $x^2ny = x(xn)y = zy(xn) = z(yx)n \in NIN$  [by (ii)]  $\subseteq I$ .

That is  $x^2ny \in I$ . Since  $N$  is Boolean,  $xny \in I$ . Thus  $N$  has strong IFP.

(iv) Let  $A$  be a Left ideal of  $N$ . Since  $N = N0$ , from Proposition 2.2.6 (ii) we get,  $NA \subseteq A$ . Therefore  $A$  is an  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$ . From Theorem 4.3.17 we get,  $A$  is an invariant  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$ .

(v) and (vi) follow from (iv).

We conclude our discussion with the following characterization of strong B1 near-rings.

Theorem 4.3.19.

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near-ring. Then  $N$  is a strong B1 near-ring if and only if  $Na \cap Nb = Nab$  for all  $a, b \in N$ .

Proof:

For the „only if“ part, let  $a, b \in N$ . If  $y \in Na \cap Nb$  then  $y = na = n'b$  for some  $n, n' \in N$ . Now Lemma 4.3.9 demands that there exists  $z \in N$  such that  $y^2 = (na)(n'b)$

$= (nan')b = (zn'a)b = (zn')ab \in Nab$ . That is  $y^2 \in Nab$ .

Since  $N$  is Boolean,  $y \in Nab$ . Therefore

$$Na \cap Nb \subseteq Nab \tag{18}$$

Since  $N$  is a strong B1 near-ring,  $Nab = Nba$ . But  $Nba \subseteq Na$  and  $Nab \subseteq Nb$ . Hence

$$Nab \subseteq Na \cap Nb \tag{19}$$

From 18 and 19 we get,  $Na \cap Nb = Nab$ .

For the „if part“, let  $a, b \in N$ . Now  $Nab = Na \cap Nb = Nb \cap Na$ . Thus  $N$  is a strong B1 near-ring.

### REFERENCES

[1] R.Balakrishnan, Abstract Algebra – A study of rings, near-rings and near-fields, Doctoral Dissertation submitted to Manonmaniam Sundarnar University, 1999.  
 [2] R.Balakrishnan and S.Suryanarayanan, On PK and PK\* near-rings, Bull. Malaysian Math., Sc. Soc. (Second Series) 23: 2000, 9-24.  
 [3] R.Balakrishnan and S.Suryanarayanan, P(r,m) near-rings, Bull. Malaysian Math., Sc. Soc. (Second Series) 23: 2000, 117-130.  
 [4] R.Balakrishnan, S.Silviya and T.Tamizh Chelvam, B1 near-rings, International Journals of Algebra, Vol.5, No. 5: 2011, 199-205.  
 [5] J.C.Beidleman, Topological near-rings, Arch. Math., (Basel) 18: 1967, 485-492.  
 [6] Bell, near-rings in which each element is a power of itself, Bull Austral. Math., Soc. 2: 1970, 363-368.  
 [7] Bell, On finite conditions for near-rings, Publ. Math., Debrecan, 22: 1975, 35-40.  
 [8] P.Dheena, A generalization of strongly regular near-rings, Indian J. Pure appl. Math., 20(1): 1989, 58-63.  
 [9] P.Dheena and C.Rajeswari, Weakly regular near-rings, Indian J. Pure appl. Math., 28(9); 1997, 1207-1213.  
 [10] J.R.Clay, The near-rings on groups of low order, Math., Z. 104 1968, 364-371  
 [11] L.E.Dickson, Definitions of a group and a field by independent postulates, Trans. Amer. Math., Soc. 6: 1905, 198-204.  
 [12] G.Ehrlich, Unit regular near-rings, Portugaliae Mathematica, 27: 1968, 209-212.  
 [13] N.H. McCoy, The Theory of Rings, MacMillan & Co., 1970.  
 [14] G.Pilz, Near-rings, North Holland/American Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1983.  
 [15] S.Suryanarayanan and N.Ganesan, Stable and Pseudo stable near-rings, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math 19(12) (December, 1988), 1206 – 1216.