

## H BRIDGE BASED SINGLE PHASE CASCADED MULTI LEVEL INVERTOR WITH MINIMUM NUMBER OF POWER SWITCHES

Nandhini Usha<sup>1</sup>

M.E. Student of C.Abdul Hakeem College of Engg and Tech, Melvisharam,India<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract** —In order to increase the number of generated output levels by using a lower number of power electronics devices a new basic unit is proposed in this paper. By a series connection of several proposed basic units, a new cascaded multilevel inverter is proposed. Then , to generate all positive and negative voltage levels at the output sides, an H-Bridge will be added to this inverter because the proposed inverter will generate the positive level at the output sides. This inverter is called as developed cascaded multilevel inverter. In order to generate all voltage levels the output, four algorithms are proposed in this inverter.

**Index Terms**—Basic unit, cascaded multilevel inverter, developed cascaded multilevel inverter, H-bridge.

### I. INTRODUCTION

THE demand for high-voltage high-power inverters is increasing, and it is impossible to connect a power semi-conductor switch to a high-voltage network directly. Therefore, multilevel inverters had been introduced and are being developed now. With an increasing number of dc voltage sources in the input side, a sinusoidallike waveform can be generated at the output. As a result, the total harmonic distortion (THD) decreases, and the output waveform quality increases, which are the two main advantages of multilevel inverters. In addition, lower switching losses, lower voltage stress of  $dv/dt$  on switches, and better electromagnetic interference are the other most important advantages of multilevel inverters [1]–[5]. These kinds of inverters are generally divided into three main categories, i.e., neutral-point-clamped multilevel inverters, flying capacitor multilevel inverters, and cascaded multilevel inverters [6]–[9]. There is no diode clamped or flying capacitors in cascaded multilevel inverters. Moreover, these




Fig. 1. Proposed basic unit.

TABLE I

Permitted Turn On and Off States for Switches in the Proposed Basic Unit

state	Switches state					$v_o$
	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	$S_4$	$S_5$	
1	off	off	off	off	on	0
2	on	off	on	on	off	$V_1 + V_3$
3	on	on	on	off	off	$V_1 + V_2 + V_3$

inverters consist of modularity, simplicity of control, and re-liability, and they require the lowest number of power semiconductor devices to generate a particular level [1], [10], [11]. As a result, the losses and total cost of these inverters decrease, and the efficiency will increase [12]. These inverters are comprised of a series connection of basic units, which consist of different arrays of power switches and dc voltage sources. Generally, these inverters are divided into two main groups, i.e., symmetric cascaded multilevel inverters with the same amplitude of dc voltage sources and asymmetric cascaded multilevel inverters. The asymmetric cascaded multilevel inverters generate a higher number of output levels in comparison with the symmetric cascaded multilevel inverters with the same number of power electronic devices because of the different amplitude of its dc voltage sources. As a result, the installation space and total cost of an asymmetric cascaded multilevel inverter is lower than that of a symmetric cascaded multilevel inverter [11], [12].

Up to now, different basic units and, thus, different cascaded multilevel inverters have been presented in literature. In [13]– [18], different symmetric cascaded multilevel inverters have been presented. Another topology with two different algorithms as symmetric and asymmetric inverters have been also presented in [19]. The main disadvantages of the symmetric inverters are the high required numbers of power switches, insulated-gate bipolar transistors (IGBTs), power diodes, and




Fig. 2. Cascaded multilevel inverter. (a) Proposed topology. (b) Developed proposed topology.

driver circuits because of the same magnitude of dc voltage sources. These disadvantages will be higher in topologies where bidirectional power switches from the voltage point of view have been used, as presented in [15] and [19]. Each uni-directional switch requires an IGBT with an antiparallel diode and a driver circuit, whereas a bidirectional switch includes two IGBTs, two antiparallel diodes, and one driver circuit if a common emitter configuration is used. However, both uni-directional and bidirectional power switches conduct current in both directions. In order to increase the number of output levels, different asymmetric cascaded multilevel inverters have been presented in [12], [14], [19], and [20]. The main disadvantages of these inverters are the high magnitudes of dc voltage sources.

In order to increase the number of generated output levels by using a lower number of power electronic devices, a new basic unit is proposed in this paper. By a series connection of several proposed basic units, a new cascaded multilevel inverter is proposed. Then, to generate all positive and negative levels at the output, an H-bridge will be added to this inverter because the proposed inverter only generates positive levels. This inverter is called the developed proposed cascaded multilevel inverter. In order to generate all voltage levels at the output, four different algorithms are proposed. Several comparisons are also done between the developed cascaded multilevel inverter and its proposed algorithms with the conventional cascaded inverters. Based on these comparisons, the developed cascaded inverter requires the minimum number of power switches, IGBTs, power diodes, driver circuits, and dc voltage sources. Finally, in order to investigate the capability of the developed cascaded inverter to generate all voltage levels, the experimental results of a 15-level inverter are used.

## II. PROPOSED TOPOLOGY

Fig. 1 shows the proposed basic unit. As shown in Fig. 1, the proposed basic unit is comprised of three dc voltage sources and five unidirectional power switches. In the proposed structure, power switches ( $S_2$ ,  $S_4$ ), ( $S_1$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$ ), and ( $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_5$ ) should not be simultaneously turned on to prevent the short circuit of dc voltage sources. The turn on and off states of the power switches for the proposed basic unit are shown in Table I, where the proposed basic unit is able to generate three different levels of 0,  $V_1 + V_3$ , and  $(V_1 + V_2 + V_3)$  at the output. It is important to note that the basic unit is only able to generate positive levels at the output.

It is possible to connect  $n$  number of basic units in series. As this inverter is able to generate all voltage levels except  $V_1$ , it is necessary to use an additional dc voltage source with the amplitude of  $V_1$  and two unidirectional switches that are connected in series with the proposed units. The proposed cascaded inverter that is able to generate all levels is shown in Fig. 2(a). In this inverter, power switches  $S_{1-}$  and  $S_{2-}$  and dc voltage source  $V_1$  have been used to produce the lowest output level. The amplitude of this dc voltage source is considered  $V_1 = V_{dc}$  (equal to the minimum output level). The output voltage level of each unit is indicated by  $v_{o, 1}$ ,  $v_{o, 2}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $v_{o, n}$ , and  $v_{o-}$ . The output voltage level  $v_o$  of the proposed cascaded multilevel inverter is equal to

$$v_o(t) = v_{o, 1}(t) + v_{o, 2}(t) + \dots + v_{o, n}(t) + v_{o-}(t). \quad (1)$$

The generated output voltage levels of the proposed inverter are shown in Table II. As aforementioned and according to Table II, the proposed inverter that is shown in Fig. 2(a) is only able to

TABLE II

GENERATED OUTPUT VOLTAGE LEVELS  $v_o$  BASED ON THE OFF AND ON STATES OF POWER SWITCHES

$v_o$	$S'_1$	$S'_2$	$S_{1,1}$	$S_{2,1}$	$S_{3,1}$	$S_{4,1}$	$S_{5,1}$	$S_{1,2}$	$S_{2,2}$	$S_{3,2}$	$S_{4,2}$	$S_{5,2}$	...	$S_{1,n}$	$S_{2,n}$	$S_{3,n}$	$S_{4,n}$	$S_{5,n}$
0	off	on	off	off	off	off	on	off	off	off	off	on	...	off	off	off	off	on
$V_1$	on	off	off	off	off	off	on	off	off	off	off	on	...	off	off	off	off	on
$V_{1,1}+V_{3,1}$	off	on	on	off	on	on	off	off	off	off	off	on	...	off	off	off	off	on
$V_{1,1}+V_{2,1}+V_{3,1}$	off	on	on	on	on	off	off	off	off	off	off	on	...	off	off	off	off	on
$V_{1,2}+V_{3,2}$	off	on	off	off	off	off	on	on	off	on	on	off	...	off	off	off	off	on
$V_{1,2}+V_{2,2}+V_{3,2}$	off	on	off	off	off	off	on	on	on	on	off	off	...	off	off	off	off	on
$V_{1,1}+V_{1,2}+V_{1,3}+V_{2,1}+V_{2,3}$	off	on	on	on	on	off	off	on	off	on	on	off	...	off	off	off	off	on
$V_{1,1}+V_{1,2}+V_{1,3}+V_{2,1}+V_{2,2}+V_{2,3}$	off	on	on	on	on	off	off	on	on	on	off	off	...	off	off	off	off	on
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
$\sum_{j=1}^n (V_{1,j}+V_{2,j}+V_{3,j})$	off	on	on	on	on	off	off	on	on	on	off	off	...	off	off	off	off	on
$V_{1,1} + \sum_{j=1}^n (V_{1,j} + V_{2,j} + V_{3,j})$	on	off	on	on	on	off	off	on	on	on	off	off	...	off	off	off	off	on

TABLE III

PROPOSED ALGORITHMS AND THEIR RELATED PARAMETERS

Proposed algorithm	Magnitude of dc voltage sources	$N_{level}$	$V_{o,max}$	$V_{iback}$
First proposed algorithm ( $P_1$ )	$V_{1,j} - V_{2,j} - V_{3,j} - V_{dc}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$	$6n - 3$	$(3n + 1)V_{dc}$	$(21n - 6)V_{dc}$
Second proposed algorithm ( $P_2$ )	$V_{1,1} = V_{2,1} = V_{3,1} = V_{dc}$ $V_{1,j} - V_{2,j} - V_{3,j} = 2V_{dc}$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$	$12n - 3$	$6n - 2$	$(40n - 13)V_{dc}$
Third proposed algorithm ( $P_3$ )	$V_{1,1} = V_{2,1} = V_{3,1} = V_{dc}$ $V_{1,j} - \frac{1}{3}V_{2,j} - V_{3,j} = 3^{j-2}V_{dc}$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$	$5(3^{n-1}) - 4$	$\left[ \frac{5(3^{n-1}) + 3}{2} \right] V_{dc}$	$[82(3^{n-1}) - 7]V_{dc}$
Fourth proposed algorithm ( $P_4$ )	$V_{1,j} = 0.5V_{2,j} = V_{3,j} = 2^{j-1}V_{dc}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$	$2^n - 3 - 5$	$(2^{n+2} - 3)V_{dc}$	$[7(2^{n+2}) - 22]V_{dc}$

generate positive levels at the output. Therefore, an H-bridge with four switches  $T_1-T_4$  is added to the proposed topology. This inverter is called the developed cascaded multilevel in-verter and is shown in Fig. 2(b). If switches  $T_1$  and  $T_4$  are turned on, load voltage  $v_L$  is equal to  $v_o$ , and if power switches  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  are turned on, the load voltage will be  $-v_o$ . For the proposed inverter, the number of switches  $N_{\text{switch}}$  and the number of dc voltage sources  $N_{\text{source}}$  are given by the following equations, respectively,

$$N_{\text{switch}} = 5n + 6 \quad (2)$$

$$N_{\text{source}} = 3n + 1 \quad (3)$$

where  $n$  is the number of series-connected basic units. As the unidirectional power switches are used in the proposed cas-caded multilevel inverter, the number of power switches is equal to the numbers of IGBTs, power diodes, and driver circuits.

The other main parameter in calculating the total cost of the inverter is the maximum amount of blocked voltage by the switches. If the values of the blocked voltage by the switches are reduced, the total cost of the inverter decreases [12]. In addition, this value has the most important effect in selecting the semiconductor devices because this value determines the volt-age rating of the required power devices. Therefore, in order to calculate this index, it is necessary to consider the amount of the blocked voltage by each of the switches. According to Fig. 2(b), the values of the blocked voltage by switches are equal to

$$V_{S1} = V_{S2} = V_{1,1} \quad (4)$$

$$V_{S1,j} = V_{S3,j} = \frac{v_{1,j} + v_{2,j} + v_{3,j}}{2} \quad (5)$$

$$V_{S4,j} = V_{S2,j} = v_{2,j} \quad (6)$$

$$V_{S5,j} = v_{1,j} + v_{2,j} + v_{3,j} \quad (7)$$

$$V_{T1} = V_{T2} = V_{T3} = V_{T4} = V_{o, \max} \quad (8)$$

where  $V_{o, \max}$  is the maximum amplitude of the producible output voltage. Therefore, the maximum amount of the blocked voltage in the proposed inverter  $V_{\text{block}}$  is equal to

$$V_{\text{block}} = V_{\text{block},j} + V_{\text{block},-} + V_{\text{block},H} \quad (9)$$




Fig. 3. Cascaded multilevel inverters. (a) Conventional cascaded multilevel inverter

- $R_2$  for  $V_1 = V_2 = \dots = V_n = V_{dc}$  [14],  $R_3$  for  $V_1 = V_{dc}$ ,  $V_2 = \dots = V_n = 2V_{dc}$  [12], and  $R_4$  for  $V_1 = V_{dc}$ ,  $V_2 = \dots = V_n = 3V_{dc}$  [20]. (b) Presented topology in [17], with  $R_7$  for  $V_1 = V_2 = \dots = V_n = V_{dc}$ . (c) Presented topology in [19], with  $R_8$  for  $V_1 = V_2 = \dots = V_n = V_{dc}$  and  $R_9$  for  $V_1 = V_{dc}$ ,  $V_2 = \dots = V_n = 2V_{dc}$ . (d) Presented topology in [18] with  $R_{10}$ . (e) Presented topology in [16], with  $R_6$  for  $V_1 = V_2 = \dots = V_n = V_{dc}$ . (f) Presented topology in [15], with  $R_5$  for  $V_1 = V_2 = \dots = V_n = V_{dc}$ . (g) Presented topology in [13], with  $R_1$  for  $V_1 = V_2 = \dots = V_n = V_{dc}$ .

In (9),  $V_{block, j}$ ,  $V_{block}^-$ , and  $V_{block, H}$  indicate the blocked voltage by the  $j$ th basic unit, the additional dc voltage sources, and the used H-bridge, respectively.

In the developed inverter, the number and maximum amplitude of the generated output levels are based on the value of the used dc voltage sources. Therefore, four different algorithm are proposed to determine the magnitude of the dc voltage sources. These proposed algorithms and all their parameters are calculated and shown in Table III. According to the fact that the magnitudes of all proposed algorithms except the first algorithm are different, the proposed cascaded multilevel inverter based on these algorithms is considered an asymmetric cascaded multilevel inverter. In addition, based on the equations of the maximum output voltage levels and its maximum amplitude, it is clear that these values in the asymmetric cascaded multilevel inverter are more than those in the symmetric cascaded multi-level inverters with the same number of used dc voltage sources and power switches.

### III. COMPARING THE PROPOSED TOPOLOGY WITH THE CONVENTIONAL TOPOLOGIES

The main aim of introducing the developed cascaded inverter is to increase the number of output voltage levels by using the minimum number of power electronic devices. Therefore, several comparisons are done between the developed proposed topology and the conventional cascaded inverters from the numbers of IGBTs, driver circuits, and dc voltage sources points of view. In addition, the maximum amount of the

blocked voltage by the power switches is also compared between the proposed inverter and the other presented topologies. In this comparison, the proposed cascaded inverter that is shown in Fig. 2(b) with its proposed algorithms is represented by  $P_1$  to  $P_4$ , respectively. In [13], a symmetric cascaded multilevel inverter has been presented that is shown by  $R_1$  in this comparison. The H-bridge cascaded multilevel inverter has been presented in [14]. This inverter is represented by  $R_2$ . In addition, two other algorithms have been presented for the H-bridge cascaded inverter in [12] and [20] that are




Fig. 8. Cascaded 15-level inverter based on the proposed basic unit.

by  $R_3$  and  $R_4$ , respectively. In [15]–[17], three other symmetric cascaded multilevel inverters have been presented. These inverters are shown by  $R_5$ – $R_7$ , respectively. The other cascaded multilevel inverter with two different algorithms has been presented in [19]. This inverter with its algorithms is represented by  $R_8$  and  $R_9$ , respectively. Another symmetric cascaded multilevel inverter that has been presented in [18] is represented by  $R_{10}$  in this comparison. Fig. 3 indicates all of the aforementioned cascaded multilevel inverters.

Fig. 4 compares the number of IGBTs of the proposed topology with the other aforementioned cascaded multilevel inverters. As it is obvious, the proposed inverter needs a lower number of IGBTs to generate a specific level. In addition, the fourth proposed algorithm has the best performance among



of the proposed algorithms for the developed cascaded inverter. However, in this comparison, the unidirectional power switches have been used in many of the considered cascaded inverters. As aforementioned, the number of used IGBTs is equal to the number of power diodes. As a result, the number of required power diodes in the fourth proposed algorithm of the developed topology is lower than that of the other aforementioned invert-ers and their proposed algorithms.

Fig. 5 indicates the comparison of the proposed cascaded inverter with other aforementioned topologies from the point of view of the number of driver circuits. As each switch requires a separate driver circuit, the number of driver circuits is equal to the number of power switches. Therefore, this comparison also indicates the number of required power switches in the cascaded multilevel inverters. As shown in Fig. 5, the number of driver circuits based on the fourth proposed algorithm of the developed cascaded inverter is lower than that of the other proposed algorithms for this inverter and other aforementioned cascaded inverters.

Fig. 6 compares the number of dc voltage sources of the proposed topology with the other aforementioned cascaded inverters. As it is obvious, the number of required dc voltage sources in the developed inverter is less than that in the other presented inverters in literature. This difference will be higher while the fourth proposed algorithm is considered.

Fig. 7 compares the maximum amount of the blocked voltage by the power switches in the proposed topology with the other aforementioned inverters. As it is obvious, this value in the proposed inverter is less than that in the other presented inverters except the H-bridge inverter and presented topologies by  $R_7$  and  $R_{10}$ . However, this is the main disadvantage of the proposed cascaded inverter, but this inverter has different advantages in comparison to the H-bridge cascaded inverter and the presented topologies by  $R_7$  and  $R_{10}$ , such as its required low.

#### IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS



In order to clarify the correct performance of the developed proposed inverter in generating the desired output voltage levels, the experimental results have been used. The number of required power electronic devices in the proposed inverter



As aforementioned, the used power switches on the developed inverter are unidirectional switches; therefore, in order to verify this fact, the voltages on the switches  $S_{1-}$ ,  $S_{1,1}$ ,  $S_{2,1}$ ,  $S_{3,1}$ , and  $S_{4,1}$  of the first proposed unit are indicated in Fig. 11. It is noticeable that the waveforms of the voltage across  $S_{2-}$  and  $S_{5,1}$  are the same as those across  $v_{o-}$  and  $v_{o,1}$ , respectively. As shown in this figure, the magnitude of the blocked voltages on the switches are either positive or zero, and there is no negative amount on them. This fact reconfirms the existence of unidirectional power switches in this topology.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a new basic unit for a cascaded multilevel inverter is proposed. By the series connection of several basic units, a cascaded multilevel inverter that only generates positive levels at the output is proposed. Therefore, an H-bridge is added to the proposed inverter to generate all voltage levels. This inverter is called the developed cascaded multilevel inverter. In order to generate even and odd voltage levels at the output, four different algorithms are proposed to determine the magnitude of the dc voltage sources. Then, several comparisons are done between the developed proposed single-phase cascaded inverter and its proposed algorithms with cascaded multilevel inverters that have been proposed in literature. According to these comparisons, the developed proposed cascaded topology requires less numbers of IGBTs, power diodes, driver circuits, and dc voltage sources than other presented cascaded topologies in literature. These features will be remarkable while the fourth proposed algorithm is used for the developed cascaded inverter. For instance, in order to generate a minimum of 63 levels at the output, the developed cascaded topology based on the fourth proposed algorithm needs 19 power diodes, IGBTs, and driver circuits, and 10 dc voltage sources. However, the cascaded multilevel inverter that was presented in [20] requires 44 power diodes, IGBTs, and driver circuits, and 11 dc voltage sources. Therefore, the developed proposed inverter has better performance and needs minimum number of power electronic devices that lead to reduction in the installation space and total cost of the inverter. Finally, the accuracy performance of the developed proposed single-phase cascaded multilevel inverter in generating all voltage levels is verified by using the experimental results on a 15-level inverter.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Babaei, S. Alilu, and S. Laali, "A new general topology for cascaded multilevel inverters with reduced number of components based on developed H-bridge," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 3932–3939, Aug. 2014.
- [2] M. F. Kangarlu and E. Babaei, "A generalized cascaded multilevel inverter using series connection of sub-multilevel inverters," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 625–636, Feb. 2013.
- [3] J. H. Kim, S. K. Sul, and P. N. Enjeti, "A carrier-based PWM method with optimum switching sequence for a multilevel four-leg voltage-source inverter," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 1239–1248, Jul./Aug. 2008.
- [4] O. Lopez *et al.*, "Comparison of a FPGA implementation of two multi-level space vector PWM algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1537–1547, Apr. 2008.
- [5] E. Babaei and S. Sheermohammadzadeh, "Hybrid multilevel inverter using switched-capacitor

- units,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 4614–4621, Sep. 2014.
- [6] A. A. Boora, A. Nami, F. Zare, A. Ghosh, and F. Blaabjerg, “Voltage sharing converter to supply single-phase asymmetric four-level diode clamped inverter with high power factor loads,” *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 2507–2520, Oct. 2010.
- [7] S. Selvakumar, Dr.S.Ravi, “Adaptive Modulation IN Reconfigurable Platform” *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*. Vol 68, PP 108-114, Oct 2014.
- [8] S. Selvakumar, Dr.S.Ravi, “DPSK and QAM Modulation Detection analyzed with BER Estimation” *IEEE International Conference on Current Trends in Engineering and Technology*, July 2014.
- [9] Dong-Sun Kim, Sung-Joon Jang and Tae-Ho Hwang, “A Fully Integrated Sensor SoC with Digital Calibration Hardware and Wireless Transceiver at 2.4 GHz”, *SENSORS*, ISSN 1424-8220, Vol. 13, pp. 6775-6792, 2013.
- [10] Zhang, C., Wei, C., Jiang, H., Wang, Z., “A Baseband Transceiver for Multi-Mode and Multi-Band SoC”, in proceedings of 2012 IEEE 55<sup>th</sup> International Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Boise, Idaho, 5–8, pp. 770–773, August 2012.

